

# Domestic violence: Former US judge Eugene Hyman urges 'shock incarceration' to deal with offenders

[The World Today](#)

By [Sarah Farnsworth](#)

Updated 27 May 2015, 11:13am Wed 27 May 2015, 11:13am

A former US judge is calling for the Australian Government to introduce specialised courts and what he terms "shock incarceration" to deal with domestic violence.

Judge Eugene Hyman presided over the first juvenile domestic violence and family violence court in the United States.

Now retired, he is in Melbourne to talk to magistrates and police prosecutors about taking a tougher line to stop women dying.

Mr Hyman remembers the day he realised he was helping the perpetrators of domestic violence when they came to court.

"By allowing them to get continuances, by allowing them to their intervention programs in case they had missed sessions, by rescheduling them and other kinds of things that I was doing, that I had no idea was enabling, and I was," Mr Hyman told The World Today.

"Whereas the general rule is that you don't cut them any slack because ... they're like children, they will take advantage every opportunity there is, because then they'll go home and tell their partners, see; I'm in charge, you're not."

It certainly is expensive, but then how expensive is it when women are murdered and the children go to foster care and then the guy's incarcerated?

Former US judge Eugene Hyman

In the US specialised domestic violence courts use what is called "shock incarceration" for men who breach court orders.

"If someone's there for a breach; it can be a text, it can be voicemail, it can be an email, and showing up with the most serious violation. So if the person's in front of you, they're going to go to jail," Mr Hyman said.

"If they show up they're going to jail for straight time, the only question is whether it's 30, 60 or 90 days, depending upon their history."

Mr Hyman said in the US a review system was in place to ensure those who had been found guilty of domestic abuse were adhering to their conditions of probation.

"Let's say hypothetically that you were either found guilty, or you plead guilty to a domestic violence related offence, you are given a whole bunch of conditions of probation, including no contact or peaceful contact, and the judge is going to set a review date," he said.

"You're going to come back in front of that judge in say a period of a month to make sure you've gone to probation and you've been interviewed by them, to make sure you've been interviewed by the intervention program.

"If you haven't, then that's a breach of conditions which might subject you to incarceration to that particular point."

## **Cost of specialised judges would be worth it: Hyman**

Despite the costly resources required to maintain specialised judges and magistrates, and in an Australian context, the use of specialised police prosecutors, Mr Hyman said the expense can be easily justified.

"It certainly is expensive, but then how expensive is it when women are murdered and the children go to foster care and then the guy's incarcerated?" he said.

"I imagine even for killing a person on average it's going to be 15 or 20 years, how expensive is that?"

With two women killed a week in Australia, there is a push for immediate jail for those who breach orders to be introduced.

In its submission to the Victorian Royal Commission into Family Violence, the Centre for Innovative Justice advocated the need for "shock" or "flash" incarceration in Australia.

Former Victorian attorney-general Rob Hulls, the director of the centre, said while the approach is costly, it is necessary.

"Perpetrators of family violence need to know that the court is actually following them and they'll be held to account," Mr Hulls said.

"In the UK for instance, saving one family violence-related death enables an early intervention program to run for four years."

Across most states and territories, specialised family violence divisions within the Magistrates Court stream have been trialled and ran, but in each case it works differently.

Mr Hulls is now calling on the Federal Government to back a more streamlined approach.

"I think that as a nation if we're going to take family violence seriously, and politicians keep talking about taking family violence seriously, we have to have a look at holding perpetrators to account, we have to look at our justice system being more proactive, we have to have a look at specialist training, we have to look at specialists courts," he said.

"Otherwise family violence will just continue to be the blight that it is in our community and on the justice system."

Topics: [domestic-violence](#), [community-and-society](#), [law-crime-and-justice](#), [australia](#), [united-states](#)

First posted 26 May 2015, 4:49pm Tue 26 May 2015, 4:49pm